



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/772,986	01/31/2001	Hisao Hayashi	SON-2010	2637
75	590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER, P.L.L.C			TRAN, THIEN F	
Suite 501 1233 20th Stree	t. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D		•	2811	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>P</b>			Me-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/772,986	HAYASHI ET AL.	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Thien F Tran	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	·
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to ch places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a	on fee under is set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed ar	nendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT p	olace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		•	i an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 13-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-12			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

MM F ME THIEN F TRAN Primary Examiner

1185 UA

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claimed structure is held prima facie obvious over Hisao et al. reference for reasons of record in Paper No. 16.